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IMPORTANT NOTE - PLEASE READ

• The information in this document is a country-level contribution to the UK Report on the conservation status of this habitat, submitted to the European Commission as part of the 2019 UK Reporting under Article 17 of the EU Habitats Directive.

• The 2019 Article 17 UK Approach document provides details on how this supporting information was used to produce the UK Report.

• The UK Report on the conservation status of this habitat is provided in a separate document.

• The reporting fields and options used are aligned to those set out in the European Commission guidance.

• Explanatory notes (where provided) by the country are included at the end. These provide an audit trail of relevant supporting information.

• Some of the reporting fields have been left blank because either: (i) there was insufficient information to complete the field; (ii) completion of the field was not obligatory; and/or (iii) the field was only relevant at UK-level (sections 10 Future prospects and 11 Conclusions).

• For technical reasons, the country-level future trends for Range, Area covered by habitat and Structure and functions are only available in a separate spreadsheet that contains all the country-level supporting information.

• The country-level reporting information for all habitats and species is also available in spreadsheet format.

Visit the JNCC website, https://jncc.gov.uk/article17, for further information on UK Article 17 reporting.
## 1. General information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1.1 Member State</th>
<th>UK (Scotland information only)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.2 Habitat code</td>
<td>1160 - Large shallow inlets and bays</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## 2. Maps

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2.1 Year or period</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.3 Distribution map Method used</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4 Additional maps</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## 3. Biogeographical and marine regions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.1 Biogeographical or marine region where the habitat occurs</th>
<th>Marine Atlantic (MATL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Mair, J.M., Lyndon, A.R., Moore, C.G. and Sotheron, I.S. Site Condition |
4. Range

4.1 Surface area (in km²)

4.2 Short-term trend

4.3 Short-term trend Period

4.4 Short-term trend Direction

4.5 Short-term trend Magnitude

4.6 Long-term trend Period

4.7 Long-term trend Direction

4.8 Long-term trend Magnitude

4.9 Long-term trend Method used

4.10 Favourable reference range

4.11 Change and reason for change in surface area of range

4.12 Additional information

5. Area covered by habitat

5.1 Year or period
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5.2 Surface area (in km²)  
|   | a) Minimum 4205.15 | b) Maximum 4205.15 | c) Best single value 4205.15 |

5.3 Type of estimate
5.4 Surface area Method used
5.5 Short-term trend Period
5.6 Short-term trend Direction
5.7 Short-term trend Magnitude  
|   | a) Minimum | b) Maximum | c) Confidence interval |

5.8 Short-term trend Method used
5.9 Long-term trend Period
5.10 Long-term trend Direction
5.11 Long-term trend Magnitude  
|   | a) Minimum | b) Maximum | c) Confidence interval |

5.12 Long-term trend Method used
5.13 Favourable reference area  
|   | a) Area (km²) | b) Operator | c) Unknown No | d) Method |

5.14 Change and reason for change in surface area of range  
The change is mainly due to:

5.15 Additional information

6. Structure and functions

6.1 Condition of habitat  
|   | a) Area in good condition (km²) | Minimum 3318.18919 | Maximum 3318.18919 |
|   | b) Area in not-good condition (km²) | Minimum 240.51902 | Maximum 240.51902 |
|   | c) Area where condition is not known (km²) | Minimum 646.44562 | Maximum 646.44562 |

6.2 Condition of habitat Method used
6.3 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition Period  
2007-2018

6.4 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition Direction  
Stable (0)

6.5 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition Method used  
Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data

6.6 Typical species
6.7 Typical species Method used
6.8 Additional information

7. Main pressures and threats

7.1 Characterisation of pressures/threats  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pressure</th>
<th>Ranking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shipping lanes, ferry lanes and anchorage infrastructure (e.g. canalisation, dredging) (E03)</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The change is mainly due to:

No change
The change is mainly due to:
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Threat</th>
<th>Ranking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Modification of coastline, estuary and coastal conditions for development, use and protection of residential, commercial, industrial and recreational infrastructure and areas (including sea defences or coastal protection works and infrastructures) (F08)</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial or commercial activities and structures generating marine macro- and micro-particulate pollution (e.g. plastic bags, Styrofoam) (F23)</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine fish and shellfish harvesting (professional, recreational) causing reduction of species/prey populations and disturbance of species (G01)</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine fish and shellfish harvesting (professional, recreational) activities causing physical loss and disturbance of seafloor habitats (G03)</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modification of coastal conditions for marine aquaculture (G15)</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine aquaculture generating marine pollution (G16)</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shipping lanes, ferry lanes and anchorage infrastructure (e.g. canalisation, dredging) (E03)</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modification of coastline, estuary and coastal conditions for development, use and protection of residential, commercial, industrial and recreational infrastructure and areas (including sea defences or coastal protection works and infrastructures) (F08)</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial or commercial activities and structures generating marine macro- and micro-particulate pollution (e.g. plastic bags, Styrofoam) (F23)</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine fish and shellfish harvesting (professional, recreational) causing reduction of species/prey populations and disturbance of species (G01)</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine fish and shellfish harvesting (professional, recreational) activities causing physical loss and disturbance of seafloor habitats (G03)</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modification of coastal conditions for marine aquaculture (G15)</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine aquaculture generating marine pollution (G16)</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other invasive alien species (other then species of Union concern) (I02)</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature changes (e.g. rise of temperature &amp; extremes) due to climate change (N01)</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sea-level and wave exposure changes due to climate change (N04)</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change of habitat location, size, and / or quality due to climate change (N05)</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change of species distribution (natural newcomers) due to climate change (N08)</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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7.2 Sources of information

7.3 Additional information

8. Conservation measures

8.1 Status of measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) Are measures needed?</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>b) Indicate the status of measures</td>
<td>Measures identified and taken</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8.2 Main purpose of the measures taken
Maintain the current range, population and/or habitat for the species

8.3 Location of the measures taken
Both inside and outside Natura 2000

8.4 Response to the measures
Medium-term results (within the next two reporting periods, 2019-2030)

8.5 List of main conservation measures

Management of professional/commercial fishing (including shellfish and seaweed harvesting) (CG01)
Reduce/eliminate marine pollution from marine aquaculture (CG08)
Other measures to reduce impacts from marine aquaculture infrastructures and operation (CG09)
Manage changes in coastal conditions for marine aquaculture (CG07)
Implement climate change adaptation measures (CN02)
Reduce impact of outdoor sports, leisure and recreational activities (CF03)
Reduce/eliminate marine pollution from industrial, commercial, residential and recreational areas and activities (CF07)
Reduce/eliminate marine contamination with litter (CF08)
Manage changes in hydrological and coastal systems and regimes for construction and development (CF10)
Management, control or eradication of other invasive alien species (CI03)

8.6 Additional information
Conservation measures operating now and into the future
For SACs conservation objectives, information on pressures and threats, and details of the habitats and species are contained within the Regulation 33 packages.
For SACs licensable activities e.g. aquaculture, renewable developments, oil and gas exploration and development, coastal developments, activities associated with shipping/vessels e.g. dredging, anchorage, moorings military activities are subject to Habitats Regulations Appraisal in Scotland which considers whether a particular plan or project (activities) will cause a likely significant effect on the habitat and result in an adverse effect on site integrity. If the tests of the HRA are not met then the development normally will not be allowed to continue unless suitable mitigation can be undertaken.
Fisheries orders have been put in place for the following sites as of 2016 which protect the large shallow inlet and bays (and other features where appropriate) from fishing methods to which they are sensitive (mainly mobile fishing gear e.g. dredging): Luce Bay and Sands SAC, Loch Laxford SAC. In Loch nan Madadh the Inshore Fishing (Prohibition of Fishing for Cockles)(Western Isles)(Scotland) Order 2009 applies.
Sullom Voe - Linked with Yell Sound Coast SAC. Shetland regulating Order, SSMEI Marine Spatial Plan, Area covered by Sullom Voe Harbour Order, ZCC policy, Soteag, SVT management plan, Sullom Voe Oil spill contingency plan.
Outside of MPAs impacts are considered on Priority Marine Features (PMFs) (https://www.snh.scot/professional-advice/safeguarding-protected-areas-and-species/priority-marine-features-scotlands-seas), of which there are some that
include biotopes that could be considered as components of the Annex I large shallow inlet and bay habitat e.g. maerl beds, seagrass beds, burrowed mud, kelp and seaweed communities on sublittoral sediment, kelp beds, tide swept coarse sands with burrowing bivalves. These features are considered through Environmental Impact Assessments. Policy GEN 9 Natural Heritage in Scotland's National Marine Plan (Marine Scotland 2015) requires that development and use of the marine environment must not result in a significant impact on the national status of PMFs, including these habitats. Regional Marine Management Plans for some regions (Shetland, Clyde) have been developed which seek to identify the location of sensitive PMFs including some associated with large shallow inlets and bays and propose regional marine management policies to limit impacts of activities on these features and site development in more appropriate places. Conservation measures which will start to operate during the next reporting period -

Fisheries management measures for Loch nam Madadh SAC for fishing gear that the habitat is sensitive to will be consulted on in 2018 with an aim to implement these in 2019.

Currently underway (as of July 2018) is a consultation lead by Marine Scotland to consider where there is a need to consider additional management for bottom contacting mobile fishing gears to ensure there is no significant impact on the national status of the most sensitive habitat PMFs within the 6 nautical mile (NM) limit. This specifically deals with the location of these sensitive habitats outside of Marine Protected Areas including SACs. See [https://consult.gov.scot/marine-scotland/priority-marine-features/](https://consult.gov.scot/marine-scotland/priority-marine-features/)

Some of the feature being considered are found within LSIB e.g. maerl beds, seagrass beds, maerl or coarse shell gravel with burrowing sea cucumbers, blue mussel beds. Therefore if measures go ahead to protect these features outside of the current MPAs in Scotland then this could offer additional protected to some locations within other LSIB outside the MPAs.

### 9. Future prospects

#### 9.1 Future prospects of parameters

a) Range  
b) Area  
c) Structure and functions

#### 9.2 Additional information

### 10. Conclusions

#### 10.1. Range

#### 10.2. Area

#### 10.3. Specific structure and functions (incl. typical species)

#### 10.4. Future prospects

#### 10.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status

#### 10.6 Overall trend in Conservation Status

#### 10.7 Change and reasons for change in conservation status and conservation status trend

a) Overall assessment of conservation status

No change

The change is mainly due to:
### 10.8 Additional information

### 11. Natura 2000 (pSCIs, SCIs, SACs) coverage for Annex I habitat types

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>11.1 Surface area of the habitat type inside the pSCIs, SCIs and SACs network (in km² in biogeographical/marine region)</th>
<th>a) Minimum</th>
<th>1871.65834</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b) Maximum</td>
<td>1871.65834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c) Best single value</td>
<td>1871.65834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.2 Type of estimate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.3 Surface area of the habitat type inside the network Method used</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.4 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition within the network Direction</td>
<td>Stable (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.5 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition within network Method used</td>
<td>Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.6 Additional information</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 12. Complementary information

| 12.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends |  |
| 12.2 Other relevant information |  |
Figure 1: UK distribution map for H1160 - Large shallow inlets and bays.

The 10km grid square distribution map is based on available habitat records which are considered to be representative of the distribution within the current reporting period. For further details see the 2019 Article17 UK Approach document.
Large shallow inlets and bays are physiographic features and so their range is determined primarily by geomorphological and hydrographic processes occurring over long time-scales and is not related to biological communities or processes supported by communities. Therefore, the range was considered equivalent to the surface area of the habitat.
### 6.2 Condition of habitat; Method used

The SACs which have been used to assess the habitat area in good condition (1871.658349km²) (based on favourable SCM) are Loch nam Madadh, Loch Laxford and Sullom Voe and Luce Bay and Sands (based on survey work and knowledge as no formal SCM assessment has been undertaken). There is no indication that the habitat condition between 2007-2018 has been anything apart from favourable and stable. For the rest of the habitat area within Scotland (2333.495493km²) most of this is classed as being in good condition based on the habitat assessments in Baxter et al. (2011) for all regions apart from Hebrides (549.353743km²) and North Scotland Coast (97.091878km²) where it is unknown (due to a mix of status between habitats being considered from stable with no/few concerns, deteriorating, and unknown trend. Therefore the total area of unknown = 646.445621km². Also the LSIB in the Clyde outside of protected areas are considered to be in not-good condition based on the status assessments of habitats in Baxter et al. (2011) = 240.519025km².

### 6.5 Short term trend of habitat area in good condition; Method used

Stable has been noted as the short term trend. The areas of habitat in good condition were taken from SACs. There is no indication that there has been any notable deterioration in these SACs over the period 2007-2018 but there has been limited repeat surveys in these sites so therefore this is based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data. However, Loch nam Madadh was assessed as favourable maintained in 2015 (after a previous survey in 2005). Whilst the earlier data is before the trend period requested (therefore a longer period has been considered) there has not been a change in condition in this site. Loch Laxford was assessed as favourable maintained in 2015, assessed after establishment of SCM in 2009.

### 9.1 Future prospects of parameters

We believe that the range and area of this habitat should remain stable in the future over the next 12 year period because this is a physiographic feature that is unlikely to change in range or extent in Scottish waters unless there was an exceptionally large development, which currently we don't forsee. We propose that there is the potential for a positive trend in structure and functions albeit slight/moderate based on the management measures now in place and that are proposed again both inside and outside protected areas. However, it should be recognised that there are uncertainties in the positive assessment because the new management measures are being targeted on the basis of the existing evidence-base only and it is unclear whether there may be future iterations needed similar to the current PMF review process. It is also clear from recent work (e.g. in Loch Carron on flameshell beds) that human activities will continue to modify examples of our most sensitive PMFs in areas where no survey records currently exist. Therefore there maybe sensitive components of this habitat that we are currently unaware of that are being impacted by human activities. However, as we are now taking very positive steps in relation to the most sensitive components and the key pressures / threats, this has been recognised in the future prospect trends of stable area and positive structure and function.

### 11.5 Short term trend of habitat area in good condition within the network; Method used

The areas of habitat in good condition were taken from SACs. There is no indication that there has been any notable deterioration in these SACs over the period 2007-2018 but there has been limited repeat surveys in these sites so therefore this is based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data. However, Loch nam Madadh was assessed as favourable maintained in 2015 (after a previous survey in 2005). Whilst the earlier data is before the trend period requested (therefore a longer period has been considered) there has not been a change in condition in this site. Loch Laxford was assessed as favourable maintained in 2015, assessed after establishment of SCM in 2009.