Information Sheet on Ramsar Wetlands (RIS)

Categories approved by Recommendation 4.7 (1990), as amended by Resolution VIII.13 of the 8th Conference of the Contracting Parties (2002) and Resolutions IX.1 Annex B, IX.6, IX.21 and IX. 22 of the 9th Conference of the Contracting Parties (2005).

Notes for compilers:

- 1. The RIS should be completed in accordance with the attached *Explanatory Notes and Guidelines for completing the Information Sheet on Ramsar Wetlands*. Compilers are strongly advised to read this guidance before filling in the RIS.
- 2. Further information and guidance in support of Ramsar site designations are provided in the *Strategic Framework for the future development of the List of Wetlands of International Importance* (Ramsar Wise Use Handbook 7, 2nd edition, as amended by COP9 Resolution IX.1 Annex B). A 3rd edition of the Handbook, incorporating these amendments, is in preparation and will be available in 2006.
- 3. Once completed, the RIS (and accompanying map(s)) should be submitted to the Ramsar Secretariat. Compilers should provide an electronic (MS Word) copy of the RIS and, where possible, digital copies of all maps.

	•			1
1.	Name and address	of the compiler of this form:	FOR OFFICE USE ONLY.	
			DD MM YY	
	Joint Nature Cor	servation Committee		
	Monkstone House			
	City Road		Designation date	Site Reference Number
	Peterborough		•	
	Cambridgeshire	PE1 1JY		
	UK			
	Telephone/Fax:	+44 (0)1733 - 562 626 / +44 (0)1	733 – 555 948	
	Email:	RIS@JNCC.gov.uk		
	D (41: 1)	1 (1/ 1 (1		
2.		s completed/updated:		
	Designated: 20 D	ecember 1995		
3.	Country:			
	UK (England)			
4.	Name of the Rams	ar site:		
	Mersey Estuary	,		
5.	Designation of nev	v Ramsar site or update of existing	ng site:	
	8	•	0	
Thi	is RIS is for · Undate	ed information on an existing Rams	sar site	
	is M is is ion. Openic	at information on an existing Runn	sur site	
6.	For DIS undetes a	nly, changes to the site since its o	locionation ar carlia	r undata:
	_		iesignation of earne.	i upuate:
a) S	Site boundary and a	rea:		

** Important note: If the boundary and/or area of the designated site is being restricted/reduced, the Contracting Party should have followed the procedures established by the Conference of the Parties in the Annex to COP9 Resolution IX.6 and provided a report in line with paragraph 28 of that Annex, prior to the submission of an updated RIS.

b) Describe briefly any major changes to the ecological character of the Ramsar site, including in the application of the Criteria, since the previous RIS for the site:

Ramsar Information Sheet: UK11041	Page 1 of 10	Mersey Estuary

7. Map of site included:

Refer to Annex III of the *Explanatory Notes and Guidelines*, for detailed guidance on provision of suitable maps, including digital maps.

- a) A map of the site, with clearly delineated boundaries, is included as:
 - i) hard copy (required for inclusion of site in the Ramsar List): yes \checkmark -or- no \square ;
 - ii) an electronic format (e.g. a JPEG or ArcView image) Yes
 - iii) a GIS file providing geo-referenced site boundary vectors and attribute tables $yes \checkmark$ -or- $no \Box$;

b) Describe briefly the type of boundary delineation applied:

e.g. the boundary is the same as an existing protected area (nature reserve, national park etc.), or follows a catchment boundary, or follows a geopolitical boundary such as a local government jurisdiction, follows physical boundaries such as roads, follows the shoreline of a waterbody, etc.

The site boundary is the same as, or falls within, an existing protected area.

For precise boundary details, please refer to paper map provided at designation

8. Geographical coordinates (latitude/longitude):

053 18 51 N

002 49 25 W

9. General location:

Include in which part of the country and which large administrative region(s), and the location of the nearest large town.

Nearest town/city: Liverpool

Mersey Estuary is located in north-west England between the counties of Cheshire and Merseyside.

Administrative region: Cheshire; Halton; Merseyside; Liverpool; Wirral

10. Elevation (average and/or max. & min.) (metres): **11.** Area (hectares): 5023.35

Min. -3 Max. 32 Mean 1

12. General overview of the site:

Provide a short paragraph giving a summary description of the principal ecological characteristics and importance of the wetland.

The Mersey is a large, sheltered estuary which comprises large areas of saltmarsh and extensive intertidal sand and mudflats, with limited areas of brackish marsh, rocky shoreline and boulder clay cliffs, within a rural and industrial environment. The intertidal flats and saltmarshes provide feeding and roosting sites for large and internationally important populations of waterfowl. During the winter, the site is of major importance for duck and waders. The site is also important during spring and autumn migration periods, particularly for wader populations moving along the west coast of Britain.

13. Ramsar Criteria:

Circle or underline each Criterion applied to the designation of the Ramsar site. See Annex II of the *Explanatory Notes and Guidelines* for the Criteria and guidelines for their application (adopted by Resolution VII.11).

5. 6

14. Justification for the application of each Criterion listed in 13 above:

Provide justification for each Criterion in turn, clearly identifying to which Criterion the justification applies (see Annex II for guidance on acceptable forms of justification).

Ramsar criterion 5

Assemblages of international importance:

Ramsar Information Sheet: UK11041 Page 2 of 10 Mersey Estuary

Species with peak counts in winter:

89576 waterfowl (5 year peak mean 1998/99-2002/2003)

Ramsar criterion 6 – species/populations occurring at levels of international importance.

Qualifying Species/populations (as identified at designation):

Species with peak counts in spring/autumn:

Common shelduck, *Tadorna tadorna*, NW 12676 individuals, representing an average of

Europe 4.2% of the population (5 year peak mean

1998/9-2002/3)

Black-tailed godwit, Limosa limosa islandica, 2011 individuals, representing an average of

Iceland/W Europe 5.7% of the population (5 year peak mean

1998/9-2002/3)

Common redshank, Tringa totanus totanus, 6651 individuals, representing an average of

2.6% of the population (5 year peak mean

1998/9-2002/3)

Species with peak counts in winter:

Eurasian teal, Anas crecca, NW Europe 10613 individuals, representing an average of

2.6% of the population (5 year peak mean

1998/9-2002/3)

Northern pintail, *Anas acuta*, NW Europe 565 individuals, representing an average of 2%

of the GB population (5 year peak mean 1998/9-

2002/3)

Dunlin, Calidris alpina alpina, W Siberia/W

Europe

48364 individuals, representing an average of 3.6% of the population (5 year peak mean

1998/9-2002/3)

Contemporary data and information on waterbird trends at this site and their regional (sub-national) and national contexts can be found in the Wetland Bird Survey report, which is updated annually. See www.bto.org/survey/webs/webs-alerts-index.htm.

Details of bird species occuring at levels of National importance are given in Section 22

15. Biogeography (required when Criteria 1 and/or 3 and /or certain applications of Criterion 2 are applied to the designation):

Name the relevant biogeographic region that includes the Ramsar site, and identify the biogeographic regionalisation system that has been applied.

a) biogeographic region:

Atlantic

b) biogeographic regionalisation scheme (include reference citation):

Council Directive 92/43/EEC

16. Physical features of the site:

Describe, as appropriate, the geology, geomorphology; origins - natural or artificial; hydrology; soil type; water quality; water depth, water permanence; fluctuations in water level; tidal variations; downstream area; general climate, etc.

Soil & geology	clay, mud, sand, sandstone/mudstone
Geomorphology and landscape	cliffs, coastal, estuary, intertidal sediments (including
	sandflat/mudflat), lowland, subtidal sediments (including
	sandbank/mudbank)

Nutrient status	eutrophic, mesotrophic		
pH	no information		
Salinity	brackish / mixosaline, saline / euhaline		
Soil	no information		
Water permanence	usually permanent		
Summary of main climatic features	Annual averages (Blackpool, 1971–2000)		
	(www.metoffice.com/climate/uk/averages/19712000/sites		
	/blackpool.html)		
	Max. daily temperature: 12.9° C		
	Min. daily temperature: 6.4° C		
	Days of air frost: 40.3		
	Rainfall: 871.3 mm		
	Hrs. of sunshine: 1540.3		

General description of the Physical Features:

The Mersey Estuary is located on the Irish Sea coast of north-west England. It is a large, sheltered estuary which comprises large areas of saltmarsh and extensive intertidal sand- and mud-flats, with limited areas of brackish marsh, rocky shoreline and boulder clay cliffs, within a rural and industrial environment.

17. Physical features of the catchment area:

Describe the surface area, general geology and geomorphological features, general soil types, general land use, and climate (including climate type).

The Mersey catchment covers an area of approximately 535 km2 and includes the River Mersey and the River Bollin and their tributaries. Several canals and a large number of water bodies, including the Cheshire Meres, large reservoirs and ponds lie within the catchment. The area is heavily urbanised around Greater Manchester, contrasting with the more rural areas of Cheshire to the south and east. Water is abstracted throughout the catchments from both surface waters and groundwater for a number of uses including agricultural, industrial and public water supply. A number of public water supply reservoirs are present within the upper reaches of the catchments including Lamaload, Trentabank and Ridgegate reservoirs.

The Mersey Estuary is located on the Irish Sea coast of north-west England. It is a large, sheltered estuary which comprises large areas of saltmarsh and extensive intertidal sand- and mud-flats, with limited areas of brackish marsh, rocky shoreline and boulder clay cliffs, within a rural and industrial environment.

18. Hydrological values:

Describe the functions and values of the wetland in groundwater recharge, flood control, sediment trapping, shoreline stabilization, etc.

Shoreline stabilisation and dissipation of erosive forces, Sediment trapping, Water supply

19. Wetland types:

Marine/coastal wetland

Code	Name	% Area
G	Tidal flats	89
Н	Salt marshes	11

20. General ecological features:

Provide further description, as appropriate, of the main habitats, vegetation types, plant and animal communities present in the Ramsar site, and the ecosystem services of the site and the benefits derived from them.

Within this site the main habitat types are: Mudflats, Sandflats, Saltmarsh, Soft cliffs and Brackish marsh.

The main plant communities consists of: *Spartina anglica* saltmarsh (SM6), *Puccinellia maritima* saltmarsh (SM13), Transitional low-marsh vegetation with *Puccinellia maritima*, *Salicornia* species and *Suaeda maritima* (SM10), *Honkenya peploides–Cakile maritima* strandline community (SD2), *Typha latifolia* swamp (S12), *Phragmites australis–Urtica dioica* tall-herb fen (S26).

The estuary consists of large areas of intertidal sand and mudflats and saltmarsh. These provide feeding and roosting sites for large populations of waterfowl. Grazing of the saltmarsh by sheep and cattle adds diversity. Some parts of the northern shoreline are formed of boulder clay cliffs below which there are, in some parts, transitional areas with *Phragmites australis*.

Ecosystem services

21. Noteworthy flora:

Provide additional information on particular species and why they are noteworthy (expanding as necessary on information provided in **12**. Justification for the application of the Criteria) indicating, e.g. which species/communities are unique, rare, endangered or biogeographically important, etc. *Do not include here taxonomic lists of species present – these may be supplied as supplementary information to the RIS.*

None reported

22. Noteworthy fauna:

Provide additional information on particular species and why they are noteworthy (expanding as necessary on information provided in **12**. Justification for the application of the Criteria) indicating, e.g. which species/communities are unique, rare, endangered or biogeographically important, etc., including count data. *Do not include here taxonomic lists of species present* – these may be supplied as supplementary information to the RIS.

Birds

Species currently occurring at levels of national importance:

Species with peak counts in spring/autumn:

Ringed plover, *Charadrius hiaticula*, 429 individuals, representing an average of 1.3% Europe/Northwest Africa 65 population (5 year peak mean 1998/9-

2002/3)

Eurasian curlew, Numenius arquata arquata, N. 2010 i

a. arquata Europe

2010 individuals, representing an average of 1.3% of the GB population (5 year peak mean 1998/9-

2002/3)

Spotted redshank, Tringa erythropus, Europe/W

Africa

(breeding)

3 individuals, representing an average of 2.2% of the GB population (5 year peak mean 1998/9-

2002/3)

Common greenshank, Tringa nebularia,

Europe/W Africa

6 individuals, representing an average of 1% of the GB population (5 year peak mean 1998/9-2002/3)

Species with peak counts in winter:

Eurasian wigeon, Anas penelope, NW Europe

8268 individuals, representing an average of 2% of the GB population (5 year peak mean 1998/9-

2002/3)

Species Information

None reported

23. Social and cultural values:

Describe if the site has any general social and/or cultural values e.g. fisheries production, forestry, religious importance, archaeological sites, social relations with the wetland, etc. Distinguish between historical/archaeological/religious significance and current socio-economic values.

Aesthetic

Environmental education/interpretation

Livestock grazing

Non-consumptive recreation

Scientific research

Sport hunting

Tourism

Transportation/navigation

b) Is the site considered of international importance for holding, in addition to relevant ecological values, examples of significant cultural values, whether material or non-material, linked to its origin, conservation and/or ecological functioning? No

If Yes, describe this importance under one or more of the following categories:

- i) sites which provide a model of wetland wise use, demonstrating the application of traditional knowledge and methods of management and use that maintain the ecological character of the wetland:
- ii) sites which have exceptional cultural traditions or records of former civilizations that have influenced the ecological character of the wetland:
- sites where the ecological character of the wetland depends on the interaction with local communities or indigenous peoples:
- iv) sites where relevant non-material values such as sacred sites are present and their existence is strongly linked with the maintenance of the ecological character of the wetland:

24. Land tenure/ownership:

Ownership category	On-site	Off-site
Non-governmental organisation	+	+
(NGO)		
Local authority, municipality etc.	+	+
National/Crown Estate	+	+
Private	+	+

25. Current land (including water) use:

Activity	On-site	Off-site
Nature conservation	+	+
Tourism	+	+
Current scientific research	+	+
Fishing: (unspecified)		+
Fishing: commercial		+
Fishing: recreational/sport		+
Fishing: subsistence		+
Bait collection	+	
Grazing (unspecified)	+	+
Hunting: recreational/sport	+	+

Industrial water supply	+	+
Industry	+	+
Sewage treatment/disposal	+	+
Harbour/port	+	+
Mineral exploration (excl.	+	+
hydrocarbons)		
Oil/gas exploration		+
Oil/gas production		+
Transport route	+	+
Urban development		+

26. Factors (past, present or potential) adversely affecting the site's ecological character, including changes in land (including water) use and development projects:

Explanation of reporting category:

- 1. Those factors that are still operating, but it is unclear if they are under control, as there is a lag in showing the management or regulatory regime to be successful.
- Those factors that are not currently being managed, or where the regulatory regime appears to have been ineffective so far.

NA = Not Applicable because no factors have been reported.

Adverse Factor Category	Reporting Category	Description of the problem (Newly reported Factors only)	On-Site	Off-Site	Major Impact?
No factors reported	NA				

For	category	2	factors	onl	y.
-----	----------	---	---------	-----	----

What measures have been taken / are planned / regulatory processes invoked, to mitigate the effect of these factors?

Is the site subject to adverse ecological change? NO

27. Conservation measures taken:

List national category and legal status of protected areas, including boundary relationships with the Ramsar site; management practices; whether an officially approved management plan exists and whether it is being implemented.

Conservation measure	On-site	Off-site
Site/ Area of Special Scientific Interest	+	+
(SSSI/ASSI)		
Special Protection Area (SPA)	+	
Management agreement	+	+
Site management statement/plan implemented	+	
Other	+	+

b) Describe any other current management practices:

The management of Ramsar sites in the UK is determined by either a formal management plan or through other management planning processes, and is overseen by the relevant statutory conservation agency. Details of the precise management practises are given in these documents.

28. Conservation measures proposed but not yet implemented:

e.g. management plan in preparation; official proposal as a legally protected area, etc.

No information available

29. Current scientific research and facilities:

e.g. details of current research projects, including biodiversity monitoring; existence of a field research station, etc.

Fauna

Numbers of migratory and wintering wildfowl and waders are monitored annually as part of the national Wetland Birds Survey (WeBS) organised by the British Trust for Ornithology, Wildfowl & Wetlands Trust, the Royal Society for the Protection of Birds and the Joint Nature Conservation Committee.

Environment

The Environment Agency has ongoing research/monitoring of water quality, management required under the Water Framework Directive. Research/monitoring is undertaken by departments within the University of Liverpool. The Liverpool Bay Shoreline Management Plan (Liverpool Bay Coastal Group, 1999a; 1999b; 1999c) expands knowledge of natural resources and physical processes within and affecting the estuary. In future, this will be enhanced by development of the Mersey Estuary Shoreline Management Plan which has not yet been prepared.

30. Current communications, education and public awareness (CEPA) activities related to or benefiting the site:

e.g. visitor centre, observation hides and nature trails, information booklets, facilities for school visits, etc.

Both public sector and non-governmental organisations are involved in interpretation and education at the site.

31. Current recreation and tourism:

State if the wetland is used for recreation/tourism; indicate type(s) and their frequency/intensity.

Activities

As the waters become cleaner, more people are likely to be attracted to water-based recreational activities including sailing, canoeing, windsurfing and angling.

There is a network of footpaths in the upper estuary, with the potential to extend public access. There is also the potential for greater integration of the footpath network, and improved accessibility design.

Facilities provided

Partial footpath network. New access points, routes and country parks have been opened recently.

Seasonality

All year with main concentrations during the summer from a catchment of 2 million people.

32. Jurisdiction:

Include territorial, e.g. state/region, and functional/sectoral, e.g. Dept. of Agriculture/Dept. of Environment, etc.

Head, Natura 2000 and Ramsar Team, Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, European Wildlife Division, Zone 1/07, Temple Quay House, 2 The Square, Temple Quay, Bristol, BS1 6EB

33. Management authority:

Provide the name and address of the local office(s) of the agency(ies) or organisation(s) directly responsible for managing the wetland. Wherever possible provide also the title and/or name of the person or persons in this office with responsibility for the wetland.

Site Designations Manager, English Nature, Sites and Surveillance Team, Northminster House, Northminster Road, Peterborough, PE1 1UA, UK

34. Bibliographical references:

Scientific/technical references only. If biogeographic regionalisation scheme applied (see 15 above), list full reference citation for the scheme.

Site-relevant references

- Anon. (1995) Biodiversity: The UK Steering Group Report. Volume 2: Action plans. HMSO, London
- Barne, JH, Robson, CF, Kaznowska, SS, Doody, JP & Davidson, NC (eds.) (1996) *Coasts and seas of the United Kingdom. Region 13. Northern Irish Sea: Colwyn Bay to Stranraer, including the Isle of Man.* Joint Nature Conservation Committee, Peterborough. (Coastal Directories Series.)
- Buck, AL (ed.) (1993) An inventory of UK estuaries. Volume 3. North-west Britain. Joint Nature Conservation Committee, Peterborough
- Burd, F (1989) *The saltmarsh survey of Great Britain. An inventory of British saltmarshes.* Nature Conservancy Council, Peterborough (Research & Survey in Nature Conservation, No. 17)
- Buxton, NE (1978) Stanlow and Ince Banks the wildlife importance of the Banks. Cheshire County Council Planning Department, Chester (Mersey Marshes Local Plan Technical Report No. 3).
- Carter, I (1993) Departmental Brief: Mersey Estuary proposed Special Protection Area and Ramsar site (513A). English Nature, Peterborough
- Cheshire County Council (1989) The ecology of the Mersey estuary. Cheshire County Council, Environmental Planning, Chester
- Clark, NA et al. (1990) Waterfowl migration and distribution in north west estuaries. (Contractor: British Trust for Ornithology) Department of Energy, London (BTO Research Report, No. 54)
- Covey, R (1998) Marine Nature Conservation Review Sector 11. Liverpool Bay and the Solway Firth: area summaries. Joint Nature Conservation Committee, Peterborough (Coasts and seas of the United Kingdom. MNCR series)
- Cranswick, PA, Waters, RJ, Musgrove, AJ & Pollitt, MS (1997) *The Wetland Bird Survey 1995–96: wildfowl and wader counts.* British Trust for Ornithology, Wildfowl and Wetlands Trust, Royal Society for the Protection of Birds & Joint Nature Conservation Committee, Slimbridge
- Curtis, MS, Norman, D & Wallace, I (1990) The Mersey estuary naturally ours. Liverpool Museum, Liverpool
- English Nature (2001) Mersey Estuary European Marine Site. English Nature's advice given under Regulation 33(2) of the Conservation (Natural Habitats &c.) Regulations 1994, as amended. English Nature, Peterborough. www.englishnature.org.uk/about/teams/team_photo/Mersey%20Estuary%20Reg%2033%20-%20Final%20Version%20Low%20Res%2015May03%20LTF.pdf
- George, N (1999) Liverpool Bay coastal natural area. A nature conservation profile. English Nature, Peterborough
- Gray, LC (1980) Environmental bibliography of north-west England (vice-counties 59, 60, 69 and 70) 1850–1979. University of Lancaster Library, Lancaster (Library Occasional Paper, No. 10)
- Liverpool Bay Coastal Group (1999a) Liverpool Bay Shoreline Management Plan Sub-Cell 11a: Great Ormes Head to Formby Point. Data Collation Report.
- Liverpool Bay Coastal Group (1999b) Liverpool Bay Shoreline Management Plan Sub-Cell 11a: Great Ormes Head to Formby Point. Plan Document.
- Liverpool Bay Coastal Group (1999c) Liverpool Bay Shoreline Management Plan Sub-Cell 11a: Great Ormes Head to Formby Point. Context Report.
- Mersey Basin Campaign (1996) Mersey strategy: Mersey estuary management plan summary. Mersey Basin Campaign, Estuary Projects Group, Manchester
- Mersey Estuary Project Group (1995) *Mersey estuary management plan a strategic policy framework*. Liverpool University Press, Liverpool
- Mills, DJL (1998) Chapter 11. Liverpool Bay to the Solway (Rhôs-on-Sea to the Mull of Galloway) (MNCR Sector 11). In: *Benthic marine ecosystems of Great Britain and the north-east Atlantic*, ed. by K. Hiscock, 315-338. Joint Nature Conservation Committee, Peterborough. (Coasts and Seas of the United Kingdom. MNCR series)
- Musgrove, AJ, Langston, RHW, Baker, H & Ward, RM (eds.) (2003) Estuarine waterbirds at low tide. The WeBS Low Tide Counts 1992–93 to 1998–99. WSG/BTO/WWT/RSPB/JNCC, Thetford (International Wader Studies, No. 16)
- Musgrove, AJ, Pollitt, MS, Hall, C, Hearn, RD, Holloway, SJ, Marshall, PE, Robinson, JA & Cranswick, PA (2001) *The Wetland Bird Survey 1999–2000: wildfowl and wader counts*. British Trust for Ornithology, Wildfowl and Wetlands Trust, Royal Society for the Protection of Birds & Joint Nature Conservation Committee, Slimbridge. www.wwt.org.uk/publications/default.asp?PubID=14
- Pugh-Thomas, M (ed.) (1980) *The ecology of the Mersey estuary*. University of Salford, Manchester, for North West Water Authority

- Ratcliffe, DA (ed.) (1977) A Nature Conservation Review. The selection of biological sites of national importance to nature conservation in Britain. Cambridge University Press (for the Natural Environment Research Council and the Nature Conservancy Council), Cambridge (2 vols.)
- Rehfisch, MM et al. (1994) Waterfowl distribution and diet on the Mersey estuary and adjacent areas. (Contractor: British Trust for Ornithology) Mersey Barrage Company, Liverpool (BTO Research Report, No. 77)
- Rice, KA & Putwain, PD (1987) *The Dee and Mersey estuaries. Environmental background.* (Contractor: University of Liverpool, Environmental Advisory Unit.) Shell UK Ltd.
- Rodwell, JS (ed.) (1995) British plant communities. Volume 4. Aquatic communities, swamps and tall-herb fens. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
- Rose, PM & Scott, DA (1997) Waterfowl population estimates. 2nd edn. Wetlands International, Wageningen (Wetlands International Publication, No. 44) www.wetlands.org/IWC/wpe2/WPE2-toc.htm
- Stammers, MK (1994) The archaeology of the Mersey estuary: past work and future potential. *International Journal of Nautical Archaeology*, **23**, 27-33
- Stroud, DA, Chambers, D, Cook, S, Buxton, N, Fraser, B, Clement, P, Lewis, P, McLean, I, Baker, H & Whitehead, S (eds.) (2001) *The UK SPA network: its scope and content*. Joint Nature Conservation Committee, Peterborough (3 vols.) www.jncc.gov.uk/UKSPA/default.htm
- Taylor, PM & Parker, JG (eds.) (1993) The coast of north Wales & north west England. An environmental appraisal. Hamilton Oil Company Ltd., London
- Tucker, GM & Heath, MF (1994) Birds in Europe: their conservation status. BirdLife International, Cambridge (BirdLife Conservation Series, No. 3)
- Weighell, AJ, Donnelly, AP & Calder, K (eds.) (2000) *Directory of the Celtic coasts and seas*. Joint Nature Conservation Committee, Peterborough

Please return to: Ramsar Secretariat, Rue Mauverney 28, CH-1196 Gland, Switzerland Telephone: +41 22 999 0170 • Fax: +41 22 999 0169 • email: ramsar@ramsar.org

Ramsar Information Sheet: UK11041 Page 10 of 10 Mersey Estuary